**Advisory Body Consultation from 11 July to 16 September 2019**

# Introduction

The following questionnaire has been developed to get comments and answers to the questions related to the Best Intervention Strategy “Road/Gyroplane” report sent to the Advisory Bodies for consultation.

**Please fill in this questionnaire and send it back to** [**president@eamtc.org**](mailto:president@eamtc.org) **by 10 September 2019.**

**EAMTC will send a consolidated version to EASA**

# Questionnaire

**1 Contact details**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| ID | Question | Response |
| 1.1 | Country |  |
| 1.2 | Name of your organization |  |
| 1.3 | Title |  |
| 1.4 | First name |  |
| 1.5 | Family name |  |
| 1.6 | Position |  |
| 1.7 | E-mail | @ |

**2 General feedback on the BIS “road/gyroplane”**

In order to assess the support of the proposed regulatory changes for gyroplanes, please answer to the following questions:

| ID | Question | Response |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 2.1a | Do you support the priority assigned to this subject and that EASA issues an Opinion in 2020 to address the regulatory gaps identified in this report? | Yes / No |
| 2.1b | If no, please provide comments. |  |

**Question ID “2.2” – From your point of view, for each rule domain: is the regulatory proposal mature for a prompt and smooth** **adoption at EASA Committee level?**

**Response: Tick the following corresponding cell**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Scale for the maturity of a regulatory proposal | | | | |
|  |  | 1  Very far from adoption | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5  Very close to adoption |
| **2.2a** | CAW |  |  |  |  |  |
| **2.2b** | FCL |  |  |  |  |  |
| **2.2c** | OPS |  |  |  |  |  |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| ID | Question | Response |
| 2.3 | What are the expected obstacles for the adoption of these regulatory proposals, if any? |  |

**3 Support from Advisory Bodies**

Unless you have answered to the email “nomination of gyroplane experts” sent by EASA to Aircrew TeB and FS.TEC on 29 April 2019, GA.TEB on 8 May 2019, EM.TEC and PCAW.TEB on 21/05/2019, EASA would welcome Member States and Stakeholders to identify gyroplane experts who could support the development of these rules:

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| ID | Item | Response |
| 3.1 | NAAs or industry stakeholder name |  |
| 3.2 | Expert name(s) and contact details |  |
| 3.3 | Expertise domain(s) |  |
| 3.4 | Workload availability in hours |  |
| 3.5 | Period of availability : from month / 2019 to month / 2020 |  |

**4 Facts on current gyroplane activity**

**PART A – Only for NAAs**

**4.1 Rules**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| ID | Question | Response |
| 4.1a | Are there rules at national level already existing for gyroplanes? | Yes / No |
| 4.1b | If yes, what do they cover (CAW, FCL, OPS)? Please provide web links if any. |  |

**4.2 Fleet**

| ID | Question | Response |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 4.2a | Number of gyroplanes registered |  |
| 4.2b | Flight hours | *Indicate total annual hours for the gyroplane fleet, or estimate flight hours per gyroplane or any other values to estimate the flight hours.* |
| 4.2c | If you have more information like number of seats, date of manufacturing, MTOW, etc., please provide details. |  |

**4.3 Pilot training**

| ID | Question | Response |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 4.3a | Number of gyroplane pilots |  |
| 4.3b | What other licences do gyroplane pilots usually have? |  |
| 4.3c | Number of gyroplane instructors |  |
| 4.3d | What other licences do gyroplane instructors usually have? |  |
| 4.3e | Number of training organisation for gyroplanes |  |

**4.4 Maintenance**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| ID | Question | Response |
| 4.4a | Number of maintenance organisations with gyroplane maintenance |  |
| 4.4b | Number of maintenance staff involved in gyroplane work |  |
| 4.4c | Which types of (national) licences? |  |
| 4.4d | Number of maintenance training organisations addressing gyroplanes |  |

**4.5 Competent Authorities (CA)**

| ID | Question | Response |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 4.5a | Please provide information on potential gyroplanes projects which could fall under EASA certification |  |
| 4.5b | Number of CA experts dealing with gyroplane maintenance and gyroplane pilot licenses |  |
| 4.5c | What is the estimated total number of annual hours spent by CA experts on gyroplane? |  |
| 4.5c | What is the estimated total number of annual hours spent by CA experts on gyroplane? |  |

**4.6 What would be an estimated total cost and total number of hours to be spent by CA experts to set up the relevant activities for the gyroplanes now in EASA scope?**

| ID | Question | Response  and detailed justifications |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 4.5d | One-off hours (to initiate the change once the new European common requirements have been adopted) |  |
| 4.5e | Recurrent annual hours (after rules have been implemented) |  |
| 4.5f | Other costs (IT, etc.) |  |

**4.6 Rules of the Air**

| ID | Question | Response |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 4.6a | For the airspace under your responsibility, are there any specific derogations for gyroplanes regarding SERA rules? | Yes / No |
| 4.6b | If so, please provide details. |  |

**PART B – Only for industry**

| ID | Question | Response |
| --- | --- | --- |
| 4.7 | Please provide information on potential gyroplanes projects which could fall under EASA certification. |  |

**5 Comments**

| ID | Section number | Page | Original text | Proposed text | Reasoning/Comments |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 5.1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5.2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5.3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5.4 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5.5 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5.6 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5.7 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5.8 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5.9 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5.10 |  |  |  |  |  |
| … |  |  |  |  |  |
| … |  |  |  |  |  |
| … |  |  |  |  |  |